

Categorified Crystal Operators on $U(\widehat{\mathfrak{sl}}_p)$

Cailan Li

May 3rd, 2023

1 Preliminaries

Let $d\mathcal{H}_n$ be the degenerate affine hecke algebra and $L(a^n) = \text{Ind}_{P_n}^{d\mathcal{H}_n} L(a) \boxtimes \dots \boxtimes L(a)$. Recall that $L(a^n)$ is irreducible.

Definition 1.1. Given $M \in d\mathcal{H}_n - \text{mod}$ and $a \in \mathbb{k}$ let $\Delta_a(M)$ = generalized a -eigenspace for x_n on M , aka

$$\Delta_a(M) := \bigoplus_{\underline{a} \in \mathbb{k}^n, a_n = a} M_{\underline{a}}$$

Lemma 1.2. $\Delta_a : d\mathcal{H}_n - \text{mod} \rightarrow d\mathcal{H}_{n-1,1} - \text{mod}$ is an exact functor.

Proof. Because x_n commutes with $d\mathcal{H}_{n-1,1}$, it first follows that $\Delta_a(M)$ will be a $d\mathcal{H}_{n-1,1}$ module and second, any $d\mathcal{H}_{n-1,1}$ morphism $M \rightarrow N$ restricts to $\Delta_a(M) \rightarrow \Delta_a(N)$. \blacksquare

Definition 1.3. More generally, define $\Delta_{a^m} : d\mathcal{H}_n - \text{mod} \rightarrow d\mathcal{H}_{n-m,m} - \text{mod}$ to be the simultaneous generalized a -eigenspace of $\{x_k\}_{k=n-m+1}^n$, aka

$$\Delta_{a^m}(M) := \bigoplus_{\underline{a} \in \mathbb{k}^n, a_{n-m+1} = \dots = a_n = a} M_{\underline{a}}$$

Lemma 1.4.

$$\text{Hom}_{d\mathcal{H}_n}(\text{Ind}_{n-m,m}^n(N \boxtimes L(a^m)), M) \cong \text{Hom}_{d\mathcal{H}_{n-m,m}}(N \boxtimes L(a^m), \Delta_{a^m}(M))$$

Proof. $N \boxtimes L(a^m)$ is in the block (\dots, a, \dots, a) and so nonzero homomorphisms $N \boxtimes L(a^m) \rightarrow \text{Res}_{n-m,m}^n M$ must land in the (\dots, a, \dots, a) block of $\text{Res}_{n-m,m}^n M$. But this is exactly $\Delta_{a^m}(M)$. \blacksquare

Definition 1.5. Given $a \in \mathbb{k}$ and $M \in d\mathcal{H}_n - \text{mod}$, let

$$\epsilon_a(M) = \max \{m \geq 0 \mid \Delta_{a^m}(M) \neq 0\}$$

Proposition 1.6. Let $m \geq 0$, $a \in \mathbb{k}$ and $N \in d\mathcal{H}_n - \text{mod}$ be irreducible with $\epsilon_a(N) = 0$ ($N_{\vec{b}} = 0$ if $b_n = a$). Set $M = \text{Ind}_{n,m}^{n+m} N \boxtimes L(a^m)$. Then

- (i) $\Delta_{a^m}(M) \cong N \boxtimes L(a^m)$ (In particular $\text{soc}(\Delta_{a^m}(M))$ is irreducible)
- (ii) $\Delta_{a^m}(\text{hd}(M)) = \Delta_{a^m}(M)$ and $\text{hd}(M)$ (largest semisimple quotient) is irreducible.
- (iii) $\epsilon_a(\text{hd}(M)) = m$ and all other composition factors L of M have $\epsilon_a(L) < m$.

Proof. (i) From the unit of the adjunction from [Lemma 1.4](#) we have a nonzero, injective (since N is simple) map

$$N \boxtimes L(a^m) \rightarrow \Delta_{a^m}(M)$$

Now using the shuffle lemma and the fact that when $\epsilon_a(N) = 0$ there is only one shuffle $\underline{b} \in \text{wt}(N)$ and (a, \dots, a) in which the last m spots are all a , we have that

$$\dim_{\mathbb{k}} N \boxtimes L(a^m) = \dim_{\mathbb{k}} \Delta_{a^m}(M)$$

and thus they are isomorphic.

(ii) Let $\text{hd}(M) = M/I$. Because Δ_{a^m} is exact we have the SES

$$0 \rightarrow \Delta_{a^m}(I) \rightarrow \Delta_{a^m}(M) \rightarrow \Delta_{a^m}(\text{hd}(M)) \rightarrow 0 \quad (1)$$

But since $\Delta_{a^m}(M) \cong N \boxtimes L(a^m)$ is simple it follows that $\Delta_{a^m}(I) = 0$. Moreover, any composition factor of $\Delta_{a^m}(\text{hd}(M))$ will be a composition factor of $\Delta_{a^m}(M)$. From [Lemma 1.4](#) we have that

$$\text{Hom}_{n+m}(M, M/I) = \text{Hom}_{n,m}(N \boxtimes L(a^m), \Delta_{a^m}(\text{hd}(M)))$$

If $\text{hd}(M)$ were not simple, then semisimplicity of M/I would give us at least 2 different maps on the LHS and thus if $N \boxtimes L(a^m)$ appears with multiplicity 2 as a composition factor of $\Delta_{a^m}(\text{hd}(M))$ and thus of $\Delta_{a^m}(M)$. But $\Delta_{a^m}(M) \cong N \boxtimes L(a^m)$ so this is impossible.

We have that $\Delta_{a^{m+1}}(M) = \Delta_{a^{m+1}}(\Delta_{a^m}(M)) = \Delta_{a^{m+1}}(N \boxtimes L(a^m)) = 0$ as $\epsilon_a(N) = 0$ and thus $\epsilon_a(\text{hd}(M)) = m$. [Eq. \(1\)](#) shows $\Delta_{a^m}(I) = 0$ and thus $\epsilon_a(L) < m$ for all other composition factors L . ■

Lemma 1.7. *Let $M \in d\mathcal{H}_n\text{-mod}$ be irreducible, $a \in \mathbb{k}$. If $N \boxtimes L(a^m)$ is an irreducible submodule of $\Delta_{a^m}(M)$ for some $0 \leq m \leq \epsilon_a(M)$, then $\epsilon_a(N) = \epsilon_a(M) - m$.*

Lemma 1.8. *Let $M \in d\mathcal{H}_n\text{-mod}$ be irreducible, $a \in \mathbb{k}$ and $\epsilon := \epsilon_a(M)$. Then $\Delta_{a^\epsilon}(M)$ is isomorphic to $N \boxtimes L(a^\epsilon)$ for some irreducible $N \in d\mathcal{H}_{n-\epsilon}\text{-mod}$ with $\epsilon_a(N) = 0$.*

Proof. Choose any simple submodule $N \boxtimes L(a^\epsilon) \hookrightarrow \Delta_{a^\epsilon}(M)$. Then by [Lemma 1.7](#) (with $m = \epsilon$) we have that $\epsilon_a(N) = 0$. By [Lemma 1.4](#) we have a map

$$\text{Ind}_{n-\epsilon, \epsilon}^n N \boxtimes L(a^\epsilon) \rightarrow M$$

which is surjective as M is irreducible by assumption. By exactness of Δ_{a^ϵ} we then have

$$\Delta_{a^\epsilon}(\text{Ind}_{n-\epsilon, \epsilon}^n N \boxtimes L(a^\epsilon)) \rightarrow \Delta_{a^\epsilon}(M)$$

But by [Proposition 1.6](#) (i), the LHS above is isomorphic to $N \boxtimes L(a^\epsilon)$ and thus the isomorphism as desired. ■

Theorem 1.9. *Let $M \in d\mathcal{H}_n\text{-mod}$ be irreducible, $a \in \mathbb{k}$. Then for any $0 \leq m \leq \epsilon_a(M)$, $\text{soc}(\Delta_{a^m}(M))$ is an irreducible $d\mathcal{H}_{n-m, m}\text{-mod}$ of the form $L \boxtimes L(a^m)$ with $\epsilon_a(L) = \epsilon_a(M) - m$.*

Proof. When $m = \epsilon$ this is just the lemma above. Again let $\epsilon = \epsilon_a(M)$. Consider an irreducible summand

$$L \boxtimes L(a^m) \hookrightarrow \text{soc}(\Delta_{a^m}(M)) \quad (2)$$

By [Lemma 1.7](#) we have that $\epsilon_a(L) = \epsilon - m$. Thus taking the $x_{n-m}, \dots, x_{n-\epsilon+1}$ generalized a -eigenspace of both sides of [Eq. \(2\)](#) we obtain the inclusion

$$\Delta_{\epsilon-m}(L) \boxtimes L(a^m) \hookrightarrow \Delta_{a^\epsilon}(M)$$

Note that $\Delta_{\epsilon-m}(L)$ is simple by ?? and keeping track of the submodule structure the LHS is a $d\mathcal{H}_{n-m-(\epsilon-m),\epsilon-m,m}$ -module and thus we have the inclusion of an irreducible

$$\Delta_{\epsilon-m}(L) \boxtimes L(a^m) \hookrightarrow \text{Res}_{n-\epsilon,\epsilon-m,m}^{n-\epsilon,\epsilon} \Delta_{a^\epsilon}(M)$$

as submodules. But from Lemma 1.8 we have that $\Delta_{a^\epsilon}(M) = N \boxtimes L(a^\epsilon)$. We know that $\text{soc}(\text{Res}_{\epsilon-m,m}^\epsilon L(a^\epsilon)) = L(a^{\epsilon-m}) \boxtimes L(a^m)$ from the previous lecture and thus we have that

$$\text{soc}(\text{Res}_{n-\epsilon,\epsilon-m,m}^{n-\epsilon,\epsilon} \Delta_{a^\epsilon}(M)) = N \boxtimes L(a^{\epsilon-m}) \boxtimes L(a^m)$$

is simple and thus $\Delta_{\epsilon-m}(L)$ is unique and thus L is unique¹. ■

2 Crystal Operators

Definition 2.1. Let $M \in d\mathcal{H}_n\text{-mod}$ be irreducible, define

$$\widetilde{e}_a(M) = \text{soc}(e_a(M)), \quad \widetilde{f}_a(M) = \text{hd}(\text{Ind}_{n,1}^{n+1} M \boxtimes L(a))$$

where $e_a(M) = \text{Res}_{n-1}^{n-1,1} \circ \Delta_a(M)$.

Remark. In $d\mathcal{H}_n^{\Lambda_0} := d\mathcal{H}_n/(x_1) = \mathbb{k}[S_n]$ we have that $x_k \mapsto J_k$ where J_k is the k -th Jucys–Murphy element. Then e_a , “ f_a ” as defined above has a very nice description when restricted to the Specht modules, e_a removes a box of content a while “ f_a ” adds a box of content a .

Remark. “ f_a ” is in quotations above because it’s not defined.

Lemma 2.2. $\widetilde{e}_a : d\mathcal{H}_n\text{-irr} \rightarrow d\mathcal{H}_{n-1}\text{-irr}$ and $\widetilde{f}_a : d\mathcal{H}_n\text{-irr} \rightarrow d\mathcal{H}_{n+1}\text{-irr}$

Proof. We just show the case \widetilde{e}_a . Let $L \hookrightarrow e_a(M)$ be an irreducible submodule. We need to show L is unique. First note that as a set, $e_a(M) = \Delta_a(M) \subset M$. We claim that L is in fact a $d\mathcal{H}_{n-1,1}$ submodule, aka stable under the action of x_n . Note

- (1) $z = x_1 + \dots + x_n$ is central in $d\mathcal{H}_n$ it acts by a scalar on the irreducible $d\mathcal{H}_n$ -module M and thus on any subset L .
- (2) $z' = x_1 + \dots + x_{n-1}$ is central in $d\mathcal{H}_{n-1}$ it acts by a scalar on the irreducible $d\mathcal{H}_{n-1}$ -module L .
- (3) Therefore $x_n = z - z'$ acts by a scalar on L .
- (4) $L \subset \Delta_a(M)$ as a set, so L is a subset of the generalized a -eigenspace for x_n and since x_n acts by a scalar that scalar must be a .
- (5) Therefore as a $d\mathcal{H}_{n-1,1}$ module $L = L \boxtimes L(a) \subset \Delta_a(M)$. This is irreducible and thus contributes to the socle and by Theorem 1.9 the socle is irreducible so L is unique. ■

Proposition 2.3. Let $M \in d\mathcal{H}_n\text{-mod}$ be irreducible, $a \in \mathbb{k}$. Then

$$(a) \text{soc}(\Delta_{a^m} M) \cong (\widetilde{e}_a^m(M)) \boxtimes L(a^m).$$

¹The functors Δ_{a^k} , Res are all restriction functors so the inclusion of another $L \boxtimes L(a^m)$ would genuinely produce a different factor.

$$(b) \text{hd} \left(\text{Ind}_{n,m}^{n+m} M \boxtimes L(a^m) \right) \cong \widetilde{f}_a^m(M).$$

Proof. (a) If $m > \epsilon_a(M)$ then both parts in the equality are 0. So let $m \leq \epsilon_a(M)$ [TODO] \blacksquare

Lemma 2.4 (Crystal). *Let $A \in d\mathcal{H}_n\text{-mod}$ and $B \in d\mathcal{H}_{n+1}$ be irreducible modules and $a \in \mathbb{k}$. Then $\widetilde{f}_a(A) = B \iff \widetilde{e}_a(B) = A$.*

Corollary 2.5. *Let $M, N \in d\mathcal{H}_n\text{-mod}$ be irreducible. Then $\widetilde{e}_a(M) \cong \widetilde{e}_a(N) \iff M \cong N$ assuming $\epsilon_a(M), \epsilon_a(N) > 0$.*

Proof. \implies Suppose $\widetilde{e}_a(M) \cong \widetilde{e}_a(N)$. By [Lemma 2.4](#) with $B = M, A = \widetilde{e}_a(N)$ we have that $\widetilde{f}_a(\widetilde{e}_a(N)) = M$. But we can apply [Lemma 2.4](#) again with $B = N, A = \widetilde{e}_a(M)$ to obtain $\widetilde{f}_a(\widetilde{e}_a(M)) = N$ and thus $M \cong N$ as desired. \blacksquare

Theorem 1 (Vazirani)

The map $\text{ch} : K_0(d\mathcal{H}_n\text{-mod}) \rightarrow K_0(P_n\text{-mod})$ is injective where $\text{ch}(M) = [\text{Res}_{P_n}^n M]$.

Proof. It suffices to show that $\{\text{ch}(L)\}_{L \text{ irr}}$ is L.I. over \mathbb{Z} . Proceed by induction on n . Suppose we have

$$\sum_L c_L \text{ch}(L) = 0 \quad c_L \in \mathbb{Z} \quad (3)$$

for some simple $L \in d\mathcal{H}_n\text{-mod}$. Choose $a \in \mathbb{k}$, we will show by downward induction that $c_L = 0$ if $\epsilon_a(L) = k$ where $k = n, \dots, 1$. Doing this for all a will then complete the proof. Because Δ_{a^n} is exact, it descends to a map $K_0(P_n\text{-mod}) \rightarrow K_0(P_n\text{-mod})$ and commutes with Res . The only simple in the block $(a, \dots, a)^2$ is $L(a^n)$ and thus applying Δ_{a^n} to [Eq. \(3\)](#), we see that the coefficient of $\text{ch}L(a^n)$ is zero completing the base case $k = n$.

Now suppose that $c_L = 0$ for all L with $\epsilon_a(L) > k$, applying Δ_{a^k} to [Eq. \(3\)](#) we have

$$\sum_{L \text{ s.t. } \epsilon_a(L)=k} c_L \text{ch}(\Delta_{a^k}(L)) = 0 \quad (4)$$

because $c_L = 0$ if $\epsilon_a(L) > k$ by induction and $\Delta_{a^k}(L) = 0$ if $\epsilon_a(L) < k$. Since $\epsilon_a(L) = k$ [Lemma 1.8](#) tells us that $\Delta_{a^k}(L)$ is simple and thus equal to its socle. From [Proposition 2.3](#) we then see that

$$\Delta_{a^k}(L) \cong \left(\widetilde{e}_a^k(L) \right) \boxtimes L(a^k)$$

and thus we can factor out a $[L(a^k)]$ from [Eq. \(4\)](#) to obtain

$$\sum_{L \text{ s.t. } \epsilon_a(L)=k} c_L \text{ch}(\widetilde{e}_a^k(L)) = 0$$

We know that $\widetilde{e}_a^k(L) \in d\mathcal{H}_{n-k}\text{-irr}$ so by induction all the $c_L = 0$ assuming that $\{\widetilde{e}_a^k(L)\}$ are all distinct. But this is exactly what [Corollary 2.5](#) tells us so we are done. \blacksquare

² n times

3 Misc Results

Proposition 3.1. *Let $M \in d\mathcal{H}_n - \text{mod}$ be irreducible, then $\text{soc}(\text{Res}_{n-1}^n M)$ is multiplicity-free.*

Proof. We have that $\text{Res}_{n-1}^n M = \bigoplus_{a \in \mathbb{k}} e_a(M)$ with all but finitely many summands zero and thus

$$\text{soc}(\text{Res}_{n-1}^n M) = \bigoplus_{a \in \mathbb{k}} \text{soc}(e_a(M)) = \bigoplus_{a \in \mathbb{k}} \widetilde{e}_a(M)$$

where we have used that soc commutes with direct sum (see [Modular Representation Theory of Finite Groups, Exercise 24.5] by Lassueur, Farrell). Alternatively in this case each irreducible is contained in a unique block so must be contained inside $\text{soc}(e_a(M))$ for some a and thus soc commutes with the direct sum above.

Now we know $\widetilde{e}_a(M)$ is irreducible and for different $a \in \mathbb{k}$, $\widetilde{e}_a(M)$ are in different blocks and thus can't be isomorphic to each other and thus $\text{soc}(\text{Res}_{n-1}^n M)$ is multiplicity free as desired. \blacksquare

4 Categorification of $U(\widehat{\mathfrak{sl}}_p)$

Definition 4.1. *Given \mathbb{k} let $I := \mathbb{Z} \cdot I \subset \mathbb{k}$. As a set $I = \mathbb{Z}/p\mathbb{Z}$ where $p = \text{char } \mathbb{k}$.*

Definition 4.2. *$M \in d\mathcal{H}_n - \text{mod}$ is called integral if all the eigenvalues of $\{x_i\}_{i=1}^n$ are in I . Let $d\mathcal{H}_n - \text{mod}_I$ be the full subcategory of $d\mathcal{H}_n - \text{mod}$ consisting of all integral modules.*

Theorem 2

Let $K_0(d\mathcal{H}_{\mathbb{k}}) = \bigoplus_{n \geq 0} K_0(d\mathcal{H}_n/\mathbb{k} - \text{mod}_I)$ and let $K_{\oplus}(d\mathcal{H}_{\mathbb{k}}) = \bigoplus_{n \geq 0} K_{\oplus}(d\mathcal{H}_n/\mathbb{k} - \text{pmod}_I)$. Then there are isomorphisms of Hopf algebras

$$U_{\mathbb{Z}}(\widehat{\mathfrak{sl}}_p^+) \xrightarrow{\sim} K_{\oplus}(d\mathcal{H}_{\mathbb{k}}) \quad U_{\mathbb{Z}}^*(\widehat{\mathfrak{sl}}_p^+) \xrightarrow{\sim} K_0(d\mathcal{H}_{\mathbb{k}})$$

where $p = \text{char } \mathbb{k}$, s.t.

$$\text{CB}_{\widehat{\mathfrak{sl}}_p} \longleftrightarrow \{[P]_{P \text{ indec}}\} \quad \text{DCB}_{\widehat{\mathfrak{sl}}_p} \longleftrightarrow \{[L]_{L \text{ irr}}\}$$

and $\widetilde{E}_a, \widetilde{F}_a \longleftrightarrow \widetilde{e}_a, \widetilde{f}_a$.